



HILLINGDON

LONDON

Meeting:	Borough Planning Committee	
Date:	7th December 2021	Time: 6:00pm
Place:	Council Chamber, Civic Centre	

ADDENDUM SHEET

Item: 7 Page: 7	Location: 16 Shelley Lane, Harefield
<i>Amendments/Additional Information:</i>	<i>Officer Comments:</i>
1. The applicant has requested that the application be withdrawn.	1. Application withdrawn on 03-12-2021.

Item: 11 Page: 91	Location: 51 Sweetcroft Lane, Hillingdon
<i>Amendments/Additional Information:</i>	<i>Officer Comments:</i>
1. Since the publication of the committee report a petition comprised of 26 valid signatures has been received (received 06-12-2021).	<p>1. Members are advised to please consider this an application 'With Petition'.</p> <p>2. It is recommended that Condition 3 in the committee report be substituted as follows, in order to further safeguard trees adjacent to the application site, including a large Willow Tree located within the garden of 47 Sweetcroft Lane.</p> <p>"Notwithstanding the submitted Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Method Statement by Elizabeth Greenwood (dated March 2021), no site clearance, demolition or construction work shall take place until the details have been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority with respect to:</p> <p>1. A revised Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Method Statement (to include consideration and survey of trees beyond the application site which have the potential to be affected by the development, including, but not limited to the Willow Tree identified as TPO43 in the submitted documents) outlining the sequence of development on the site including demolition, building works and tree protection measures.</p>

2. Detailed drawings showing the position and type of fencing to protect the entire root areas/crown spread of trees, hedges and other vegetation to be retained shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority for approval. No site clearance works or development shall be commenced until these drawings have been approved and the fencing has been erected in accordance with the details approved. Unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Such fencing should be a minimum height of 1.5 metres.

Thereafter, the development shall be implemented in accordance with the approved details. The fencing shall be retained in position until development is completed. The area within the approved protective fencing shall remain undisturbed during the course of the works and in particular in these areas:

- 2.a There shall be no changes in ground levels;
- 2.b No materials or plant shall be stored;
- 2.c No buildings or temporary buildings shall be erected or stationed;
- 2.d No materials or waste shall be burnt; and
- 2.e No drain runs or other trenches shall be dug or otherwise created, without the prior written consent of the Local Planning Authority.

3. Where the arboricultural method statement recommends that the tree protection measures for a site will be monitored and supervised by an arboricultural consultant at key stages of the development, records of the site inspections / meetings shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority.

REASON

To ensure that trees and other vegetation can and will be retained and not damaged during construction work and to ensure that the development conforms with policy DMHB 14 of the Hillingdon Local Plan Part 2 (2020).”

Item: 12 Page: 121	Location: 57 Newdigate Road, Harefield
<i>Amendments/Additional Information:</i>	<i>Officer Comments:</i>
<p>1. Since the publication of the committee report, Highway Services have confirmed that the proposal would result in a net deficit of 1 vehicle parking space. This has been calculated through a Local Plan requirement of 3 parking spaces for the proposal, and taking into account a requirement of 2 vehicle parking spaces for a dwelling.</p> <p>This is contrary to the deficit of 7 spaces reported in the Committee report.</p>	<p>1. Irrespective of the disparity, there would still be a deficit whereby insufficient information has been submitted by the applicant to demonstrate that the development would not exacerbate the demand for on-street parking, increase instances of illegal parking and result in congestion and harm to highway safety.</p> <p>Therefore, the substantive assessment remains the same, as does reason for refusal No. 8.</p>